

Questions and Answers with Frédéric Henry-Couannier, physicist and conspiracy theorist:

(The traduction of this frenglish article into good english would be helpfull)

Why dont you try to publish your analyses on 11/9 in Peer-reviewed journals?

The theory of the 11/9 Inside Job has an obvious corollary: the criminals are still in power and have the means to control not only the apparatus of the state but also any newspaper having some importance in the broadcasting of information. Particularly, this control is made possible by a constant propaganda giving conspirationnism the status of an ideologically nauseous paranoiac and revisionist frenzy and creating a taboo in the collective consciousness. Mass medias but also scientific journals are subjected to this pressure and it is mistaken to hope one can publish whatever more or less conspiracy rationale in a referee journal enjoying a certain prestige.

Since Internet cannot be so locked, the second no less obvious corollary is that many professional deceivers mission is to completely invest and saturate the conspirationnist ground on the net to flood all the relevant arguments there, those that could convince experts, under a stream of hardly credible stupid things and mixed esoteric considerations: holographic no plane theories, scalar field weapons, Illuminati conspiracy and so on ...

The list of evidences for a very unconventional planned destruction of the Twin Towers, which i am going to draw up now, thus results from a long-term sorting between the truth, the false and the idiot and especially from independent analyses which i have carried out these last years.

Official reports support the official version by claiming to have established that the damages caused by the planes impacts and subsequent fires at the floors where the fire insulation of the steel was destroyed, made the initiation of the collapse unavoidable.

The inescapable reference on the subject is the thousand pages Nist report detailing the results of a 3 years study by more than hundred extremely competent engineers, having benefited from a \$16 M budget, and means of computer assisted simulations very beyond those of all other independent studies. The mission of these engineers was to get to the bottom of the collapses of the WTC towers. Consequently it is rather reasonable to consider that if the collapses were unavoidable, the Nist report had to establish this beyond any reasonable doubt, otherwise there is a problem.

The first surprise however is that the exclusive collapse scenario supported by NIST, is completely different from that supported previously by another official study, the FEMA (\$600k) one but also differs from those of all other independent engineers studies who thought that the weakening of steel columns by the fires would suffice to produce the conditions for an unavoidable collapse. To allow the collapse the Nist engineers instead had to imagine a quite new and involved mechanism: the beams that supported the floors, bending at high temperature, pulled the external columns and bowed them inward, producing the visible curvature on faces opposite to the impacts in both towers.

Unfortunately, the engineers are led to admit that in their detailed simulations of the floors the expected pull in forces on the external columns, so crucial to explain the initiation of the collapse, did not occur, because the connections between beams and columns broke at first. They then give a long series of explanations to convince us that this negative result is only the consequence of the imperfection of their simulations and confident in the fact that everything would work as expected with more complete simulations, they introduce the pull in forces by hand in their global simulations to produce the destruction of the external columns. Then they analyze the resulting load transfer and merely argue that after a certain number of external columns so twisted inward an instability likely propagated along the wall eventually leading to the complete ruin of the towers.

We have to admit that the whole Nist demonstration, resting only on a bet, is not convincing and

that even if it was so, independent researchers cannot check it, the Nist simulation codes having not been published. We of course can't take their words for it, words of teams depending directly on the US executive and whose numerous leaders work for the army.

On the other hand, I notice that it would have been very simple with incendiaries or other technologies allowing to heat the steel at temperatures much higher than those reachable by office and fuel fires, to obtain the sure natural looking collapse initiation, especially if the welds and bolts connecting beams to columns had beforehand been sabotaged and/or if remote jacks pulled the external columns through cables to destroy them.

To get to the bottom of the matter, I decided to do what the Nist should have done : analyze all the characteristics of the collapses which followed the initiation to check if these were compatible with the thesis of a collapse under gravity alone.

Are they compatible ?

There are no blatant abnormalities to be found as regards the first three seconds of collapse nor even the global collapse duration. On the other hand, for a few seconds when the collapse is situated mid-term we establish easily that the more advanced destruction front progresses faster than free-fall in the case of the South Tower : the dust and metal ejection profile is directed upward, in other words dust and steel fragments in free-fall are late on the destruction front.

As for the North Tower, the destruction front is only a bit late on free-falling steel columns, and that is also an obvious violation of the laws of physics because the fastest theoretical collapse one can imagine, taking into account conservation of momentum, should be much slower than what we observe. In fact, if the more advanced local destruction front observed was actually due to pancaking portions of floors, which is already hardly believable, considerable resistances had to show themselves since all reinforced concrete floors should have been torn apart instead of just a destruction of bolts and welding connecting trusses to the exterior columns. Now, I was able to show on the contrary that this destruction front propagates at the speed of a pile of floors pancaking without any resistance of the lower structure manifesting itself.

Therefore, what we see is actually not a collapse but a succession of violent ejections of tons of pulverized concrete and steel by a planned disintegration of the successive floors from top to bottom. Let us stress that a piston effect (a ridiculous idea put forward by some defenders of the Official Version) would obviously not chase away solid fragments and dust through the bottom, creating another downward propagating ejections front numerous floors ahead of the destruction front. Indeed a huge column of clean air should be emptied before.

I could establish that a fragment of tens of tons was thrown 45 degrees upward at an incredible speed of 90 km/h at the very beginning of the collapse, thus at a stage when the base of a pancaking pile of floors would not have reached more than 30km / hour. An immense section of wall columns representing about ten floors and a third of a facade (100 tons: the mass of a Boeing 757) was thrown at 90km / hour, two soccer field lengths away from the North tower foot. Several observations and analyses end in the same conclusion: there was no pile of floors reaching the ground, the main part of the concrete mass having been pulverized in midair and the steel columns thrown away with an incredible force in all directions as videos already strongly suggested.

What are these observations ?

- The extreme dispersal of fragments by comparison with those of a controlled demolition as that of the Hudson building for example. The WTC debris pile did not exceed 3 % of the initial height of the towers above the ground.
- Survivors who should have been crushed under a 90-storeyed pile but instead miraculously found themselves on top of a debris pile, the floors where they went down running having been apparently saved by the sequence of disintegrations which had transformed into dust all floors above them.
- A recorded seismic signal 1000 times less powerful than the one a pile of floors colliding the foundations at 180km / hour would inevitably have produced, but on the other hand well corresponding to the spread in time fall of a large number of relatively small fragments of steel.
- An almost total (at least much more than half) disappearance of the concrete in the ruins field but on the other hand, 5 to up to 20 cms in thickness of fine dust spread all over Manhattan. Starting from this observation, even estimations by defenders of the Official Version end in more than 30 % of the floors reinforced concrete, pulverized into dust, tenth of a millimeter sized, while the theory of comminution by collisions between concrete slabs predicted only a ridiculous percentage as in usual controlled demolition rubble piles which do not pulverize the main part of the concrete, far from it.

Therefore you claim that it was a controlled demolition by explosives, right?

Not at all! If we exclude nuclear weapons (no radioactivity at GZ nor tritium concentrations compatible with the usage of nuclear fusion weapons), the most powerful explosions to day to destroy big volumes of structures are thermobaric ones as the MOAB (Mother Of All Bombs). These produce audible sounds of explosions miles away without even being able to reach a pulverization degree of concrete structures comparable to what was observed at the WTC. On the contrary, the towers were disintegrated by a process producing high temperatures but in an incredible silence with regard to the noticed level of destruction: no huge acoustic bangs made the inhabitants of Manhattan jump which seems contradictory.

That is why the experts in explosives of the public research are completely disarmed in front of September 11th observations which correspond to nothing they can interpret with their knowledge and experience in explosives. I showed that all characteristics of this destruction are those of a very promising fundamental domain of physics which was mediatically discredited in the 90s, that of the very badly named " cold fusion", discredited so that the researches could be pursued in secret in the US military laboratories ending in what we saw on 11/9.

You argue it's not an explosive demolition while most conspirationist web sites speak about dozens of explosion witnesses.

These witnesses of many sounds and flashes of explosions after the planes impacts and even before are to be very seriously taken because we show easily that they can neither be explained by the fires, nor the fuel pouring in elevators wells, nor free-falling elevators impacts, cables of which would have been split by the planes.

We know that these explosions actually destroyed firebreaking doors, fire extinguishers, and almost all the elevators to prevent the firemen from intervening effectively on floors on fire so that the floors could burn the necessary time to make credible a collapse triggered by the weakening of the steel structure by the heat. Other much more powerful subterranean explosions just before the collapses, made the ground tremble in order to shake the towers, ultimate « pichenette » to trigger

the collapse initiation at the levels of the impacted floors which only waited for that, weakened as they were by high temperatures, jacks and sabotages of the connections between columns and beams. Other explosions which went through the structure just before and during the "collapse" do not explain the reached level of destruction and rather had typical powers of detonators.

What kind of evidences do you have for new physics at work in the destruction of the twin towers?

First of all, the pulverizing of the main part of the concrete in an incredible relative silence is a fact impossible to understand in the accepted frames.

Then we have very numerous witnesses including a video of firemen describing red-orange molten metal rivers at Ground Zero as in a foundry, this being confirmed by photos and even a video of a flow of very high-temperature molten metal (about 1400°C according to its color) before the collapse of the South tower.

The molten metal was steel because genuine puddles of previously molten and solidified steel were found as well as huge conglomerates of molten steel and concrete fused by the heat (these were called meteorites), in fact sandwiches of portions of several fused floors. Now steel melts at 1500°C whereas the office fires peaked at only 1100°C according to the NIST report. These data alone do not necessarily imply new physics but the puzzle begins when we learn that the extreme temperatures spoke during weeks in spite of incredible quantities of water poured in the Bathtub of GZ.

Things become clearer when one comes to study various results of WTC dust analysis by numerous laboratories which not only confirmed the incredible temperatures reached but also that these temperatures were not only reached locally but truly concerned almost all the destroyed floors. For instance in some Manhattan samples, up to 5 % of the dust mass is made of myriads of previously molten iron droplets, and we learn that 40% of the WTC dust weight is constituted of mineral fibers the laboratories identified to be rock wool : this represents tens thousand of tons, a completely absurd amount for such an extremely light material usually used for insulating buildings.

Knowing that rockwool is made of slag fibers the same as the ones produced in volcanos when hot gases pass through silicon rich lava, and in light of other evidences for fantastic temperatures reached (liquefying molybdenum at 2600°C, vaporizing alumino-silicates at 2760°C and lead at 1740 °C) another interpretation becomes imperative: tens of thousands tons of concrete were disintegrated by the heat, and some even turned into slag fibers.

The fact that the dense and extraordinarily expansive cloud of dust remained at a livable temperature in this context is one of the most enigmatic aspects which i explain by processes of new physics (those showing themselves in the so-called " cold fusion " experiments) described in details in my website. The concrete and steel structures was actually submitted to an intense flux of micro-lighting-balls (also called Ectons, Eve, Charged Clusters, Monopoles or Strange Radiations by their numerous and independent co-discoverers). In short, because of the huge temperatures and pressures reached inside the micro-lighting-balls, these are very destructive when they eventually explode inside the matter of the structure elements and though the elements the mlbs release in the environment are at thousand degrees, these are microscopic quantities hence able to cool down to much lower temperatures very fast and efficiently. The existence of these objects and the new phenomenology actually ensues from a new theoretical frame which I proposed and published in 2004, that of the Dark Gravity theory which I also popularize in my web site, www.darksideofgravity.com where the readers are invited to find the details.

What do you think about the recently published discovery of nanothermite in the WTC dust ?

I also observed in the WTC dust these, now famous, red-grey chips having all of the characteristics including the chemical composition of those described by the authors in their publication. But, even warmed far beyond 400°C, none of mine reacted by producing molten iron which would have been the signature of a thermitic reaction. However even if i had obtained this reaction i would inevitably have asked a few questions to myself before concluding. Indeed, knowing that the bulk of the towers were destroyed by new physics technologies, shouldn't we expect that in order to protect the secret of these technologies, the criminals would try to confuse the issue for the independent researchers wondering about the origin of all these metallic chips in the dust? What is more likely in this context? that samples sent to the independent researchers were beforehand sprinkled with fresh nanothermite ready to react, or on the contrary that the researchers managed to make more than 8 years old nanoparticles of aluminum from micro red-grey chips collected in the WTC dust react in a thermitic way (really astounding!)? In brief, while there are so many other evidences for which raw data sources are reliable and accessible to everybody, the videos of the "collapses" lively broadcasted for example, they try to focus our attention on a study under the pretext that it gave rise to a publication in a peer-reviewed journal whereas this study cannot actually be independently verified simply because the WTC dust is not accessible in self-service: as for me, just because i declared my interest in exploring another explanation than nanothermite, in particular processes of new physics, and refused to publish with the others, the punishment decided by the authors against me was an immediate and definitive embargo on the WTC dust. Strange behavior for so-called researchers!

Moreover, what would be the probability for a serious peer-reviewed journal to accept for publication a study establishing in a really convincing way the use of great quantities of explosives on 9/11? If it was on the other hand a scuttling, a planned operation in order to discredit the whole 911 research, everything is much better explained. Anyway, i have already given the reasons why explosives (even ignoring that nanothermite which does not release gases would be a very bad explosive) or incendiaries whatever they are, would not explain the main characteristics of the towers destructions, so why should we loose anymore time on this inadequate and unverifiable hypothesis?

You explain that the main characteristics of the towers destructions cannot be interpreted by experts in demolition or in explosives, which contributed to make the whole operation possible. On the other hand, even if the purpose was the total destruction of the towers and a maximal trauma to the population, why didn't they opt for a more discreet plan consisting in merely weakening numerous key points of the structure rather than decide to literally disintegrate 110 floors?

It is possible that we have to deal with a display of the US strength to impress other technologically advanced nations, but we can also imagine that the sorcerer's apprentices having only an empirical and not enough theoretical mastery of the new weapon technologies, did not correctly take into account some factors and that the reactions increased far beyond what was planned.